

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/042,577	01/09/2002	James E. Coffman	401016-A-01-US (Coffman)	6587
47523 75	90 03/07/2005		EXAMINER	
JOHN C. MORAN, ATTORNEY, P.C. 4120 EAST 115 PLACE			BUI, BING Q	
THORNTON,	CO 80233-2623		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
		•	2642	
			D. 75 V 55 (5-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-	_

DATE MAILED: 03/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/042,577	COFFMAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Bing Q Bui	2642				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory point or period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	≃ation.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	26 October 2004.					
·= · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for all	, 					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and subject t	hdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to		` '				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the control						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have beer ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	;			
						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗀 Indon-da	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	8) Paper No	s)/Mail Date				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or-PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	B/08) 5) Notice of 6 Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/042,577 Page 2

Art Unit: 2642

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's Amendment filed on 10/26/2004 has been entered. Claims 1 and 6 have been amended. No claims have been cancelled. No claims have been added.
 Claims 1-10 are still pending in this application, wherein claims 1 and 6 being independent.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

"the step of enabling" in lines 1-2 of the recited claim lacks antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Art Unit: 2642

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 7. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weinman, Jr (US Pat No. 6,453,022) in view of Katseff et al (US Pat No. 6,768,722), herein after referred as Katseff.

Regarding claim 1, referring to Figure 1, Weinman, Jr. teaches a method for controlling a conference call of a plurality of communication terminals (e.g., telephones "110", "130" and "135"), comprising the steps of:

displaying on a first one (e.g., telephone "110") of the plurality of communication terminals an identity of a second (e.g., telephones "130" or "135"), one of the plurality of communication terminals in response to a first signal from the first one (e.g., selecting button "305" or "410" by user of telephone "110") of the plurality of communication terminals (see column "305" or "410" in Figs 3-4; and col. 4, lns 45 - 51; and col. 7, lns 11 - 22);

disabling (e.g., muting) audio information received from the second one (e.g., telephones "130" or "135") of the plurality of communication terminals on the conference call in response to a second signal from the first one (e.g., depressing mute button "315" or "425" by user of telephone "110") of the plurality of communication terminals (see column "315" or "425" in Figs 3-4; and col. 5, lns 9 - 18).

Weinman, Jr differs from claimed invention in which it does not teach the step of re-enabling the audio information received from the second one of the plurality of communication terminals on the conference call in response to a third signal from the second one of the plurality of communication terminals after the audio information from the second one of the plurality of communication terminals had previously been disabled by the second signal.

However, Katseff the step of re-enabling the audio information received from the second one of the plurality of communication terminals on the conference call in response to a third signal from the second one of the plurality of communication terminals after the audio information from the second one of the plurality of communication terminals had previously been disabled by the second signal (see Fig 5, elements 509 and 512; and col. 22, lns 44-54; and col. 24, lns 35-54).

Therefore, integrating Katseff's teachings into conferencing system of Weinman,

Jr would be benefit to an on-hold user because the on-hold user can override his onhold status previously set by an associated communication party.

Regarding claim 2, Weinman, Jr. teaches the method of claim 1 wherein the step of disabling comprises the step of re-enabling the second one of the plurality of

Page 5

communication terminals on the conference call in response to another second signal from the first one of the plurality of communication terminals while the identity of the second one of the plurality of communication terminals is displayed on the first one of the plurality of communication terminals (see column "315" or "425" in Figs 3-4; and col. 5, Ins 9 - 18).

Regarding claim 3, Weinman, Jr. teaches the method of claim 1 wherein the step of displaying on the first one of the plurality of communications terminals comprises the step of displaying a third one of the plurality of communication terminals in response to another first signal from the one of the plurality of communication terminals (see column "305" or "410" in Figs 3-4; and col. 4, lns 45 - 51; and col. 7, lns 11 - 22).

Regarding claim 4, Weinman, Jr. teaches the method of claim 3 wherein the step of disabling comprises the step of disabling audio information received from the third one of the plurality of communication terminals on the conference call in response to another second signal from the first one of the plurality of communication terminals while the identity of third one of the plurality of communication terminals is displayed on the first one of the plurality of communication terminals (see column "315" or "425" in Figs 3-4; and col. 5, Ins 9 - 18)..

Regarding claim 5, Katseff further teaches the re-enable the audio information from the third one of the plurality of communication terminals received from the second one of the plurality of communication terminals on the conference call in response to another third signal from the third one of the plurality of communication terminals (see col. 24, lns 35-54).

Art Unit: 2642

As to claims 6-10, they are rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claims 1- 5 above, since claims 6-10 are merely a system for implementing the method defined in the method claims 1-5.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bing Bui whose telephone number is (703) 308-5858. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:30 to 5:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/042,577 Page 7

Art Unit: 2642

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on (703) 305-4731. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306 and for formal communications intended for entry (please label the response DEXPEDITED PROCEDURED) or for informal or draft communications not intended for entry (please label the response "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

01 Mar 2005

BING Q. BUI PRIMARY EXAMINED